Tetrahedron Letters 51 (2010) 6132-6135

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet



Reduction of sulfoxides catalyzed by oxo-complexes

Ivânia Cabrita, Sara C. A. Sousa, Ana C. Fernandes*

Centro de Química Estrutural, Complexo I, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 26 July 2010 Revised 14 September 2010 Accepted 17 September 2010 Available online 24 September 2010

Keywords: Sulfoxides Oxo-complexes Silanes Boranes This work reports the catalytic activity of the oxo-complexes HReO₄, MoO₂(acac)₂, WO₂Cl₂, and VO(acac)₂ in the reduction of sulfoxides with PhSiH₃ or HBcat. The results obtained showed that the catalyst systems PhSiH₃/HReO₄ (5 mol %) and HBcat/HReO₄ (5 mol %) are highly efficient for the deoxygenation of sulfoxides. The complex MoO₂(acac)₂ was also efficient, but the reactions required more time and heating. Finally, the complexes WO₂Cl₂ and VO(acac)₂ showed a moderate activity.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

High valent oxo-molybdenum and -rhenium complexes were known for their abilities to catalyze oxygen-transfer reactions to sulfides, phosphines, and olefins.^{1,2} Recently, we have demonstrated the reversal role of oxo-molybdenum and -rhenium complexes by showing their abilities to catalyze the hydrosilylation of aldehydes and ketones^{3,4} and organic reductions, such as the reduction of imines,⁵ amides,⁶ aromatic nitro compounds,⁷ esters,⁸ sulfoxides,⁹⁻¹² pyridine *N*-oxides,⁹ and alkenes.¹³

As the deoxygenation of sulfoxides to the corresponding sulfides is an important reaction that has found utility in organic synthesis and in biochemical reactions, over the years, several methods have been developed to reduce sulfoxides.^{14–36} However, many of these are limited by side reactions, low yields, lack of chemoselectivity or harsh conditions. For these reasons, the search for alternative efficient and highly chemoselective methods for the reduction of sulfoxides remains an important target in organic synthesis.

Recently, we have demonstrated that high valent oxo-molybdenum and -rhenium complexes are excellent catalysts for the deoxygenation of sulfoxides.^{9–12} In the literature there are some other examples of the reduction of sulfoxides catalyzed by rhenium complexes, but these methods are less efficient.^{37–42} In continuation of our studies on the use of high valent oxo-complexes in the deoxygenation of sulfoxides, in this work we explored the catalytic activity of other oxo-rhenium, -molybdenum, -tungsten, and -vanadium complexes in this reduction using silanes or boranes as reducing agents. The reaction of phenyl sulfoxide was chosen as the model reaction for studying the influence of catalysts, silanes, boranes, and critical parameters such as solvent and temperature (Tables 1–3). The progress of the reactions was monitored by thin layer chromatography and by ¹H NMR.

In order to compare the catalytic activity of several oxo-complexes, the reduction of phenyl sulfoxide was carried out with PhSiH₃ or catecholborane (HBcat) in the presence of the catalysts HReO₄, MoO₂(acac)₂, WO₂Cl₂, and VO(acac)₂⁴³ as summarized in Table 1.

Among the oxo-complexes tested, $HReO_4$ (5 mol %) was the most effective catalyst, reducing completely the phenyl sulfoxide in 30 min at room temperature with PhSiH₃ (120 mol %) (Table 1, entry 1). Similar reduction using HBcat (200 mol %) instead of PhSiH₃ was much faster, and required only 5 min (Table 1, entry 2).

The dioxo-molybdenum complex $MoO_2(acac)_2$ (10 mol %) was also very efficient in the reduction of phenyl sulfoxide with PhSiH₃ (120 mol %) or HBcat (200 mol %) under nitrogen atmosphere. However, these reactions required more time and heating (120 °C) (Table 1, entries 3 and 4).

The catalytic activity of WO_2Cl_2 was also explored in the reduction of phenyl sulfoxide with PhSiH₃ or HBcat. These reactions were carried out with 10 mol % of catalyst in refluxing toluene under nitrogen atmosphere. The reduction with PhSiH₃ (120 mol %) afforded the corresponding sulfide in 30% conversion after 22 h (Table 1, entry 5). Similar reduction using HBcat gave the sulfide in 50% conversion after 6 h (Table 1, entry 6).

Finally, the oxo-vanadium complex VO(acac)₂ showed a moderate activity in the reduction of phenyl sulfoxide using PhSiH₃ or HBcat in refluxing toluene (Table 1, entries 7 and 8).

In the absence of catalyst, no reduction was observed with PhSiH₃ (Table 1, entry 9) and only a small amount of sulfide was



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +351 218419264; fax: +351 218464457. E-mail address: anacristinafernandes@ist.utl.pt (A.C. Fernandes).

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2010.09.071

Table 1

Reduction of phenyl sulfoxide with PhSiH₃ or HBcat catalyzed by high valent oxo-complexes^a



 $^a\,$ The reactions were carried out with 1.0 mmol of sulfoxide, PhSiH_3 (120 mol %) or HBcat (200 mol %).

0

^b Conversion was determined by ¹H NMR.

Table 2

Reduction of phenyl sulfoxide with different silanes or boranes catalyzed by HReO₄^a

Silane or Borane / HReO4									
Entry	Reducing agent	Silane (mol %)	Temperature	Time	Conversion ^b (%)				
1	PhSiH ₃	120	rt	30 min	100				
2	PhSiH ₃	100	rt	40 min	100				
3	PMHS	0.30	rt	7 h 30 min	100				
4	PhMe ₂ SiH	100	rt	48 h	50				
5	PhMe ₂ SiH	200	Reflux	3 h 30 min	100				
6	Et₃SiH	100	rt	48 h	60				
7	Et₃SiH	200	Reflux	2 h 30 min	100				
8	Ph₃SiH	200	Reflux	24 h	49				
9	Without silane	_	rt	24 h	No reaction				
10	HBcat	200	rt	5 min	100				
11	HBcat	100	rt	6 h 30 min	55				
12	HBpin	200	rt	5 min	100				

^a All reactions were carried out with 1.0 mmol of sulfoxide and 5 mol % of HReO₄.

^b Conversion was determined by ¹H NMR.

Table 3

Reduction of phenyl sulfoxide in different solvents^a

PhSiH ₃	/HReO ₄	S S
olvent	Time	Conversion ^b (%)
łF	30 min	100
HCl ₃	6 h 30 min	100
enzene	19 h 30 min	100
oluene	24 h	100
H_2Cl_2	48 h	70
H₃CN	24 h	55
	PhSiH ₃	PhSiH ₃ / HReO ₄

 a All reactions were carried out with 1.0 mmol of sulfoxide, 120 mol % of PhSiH_3, 5 mol % of HReO_4 at room temperature.

^b Conversion was determined by ¹H NMR.

formed with HBcat, after 24 h at room temperature (Table 1, entry 10), demonstrating the catalytic role of the oxo-complexes.

The reduction of phenyl sulfoxide was also studied with several silanes, namely, phenylsilane, dimethylphenylsilane, triethylsilane, triphenylsilane, and polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS). Of these silanes, phenylsilane (120 mol %) was the most efficient reducing agent, giving the sulfide in 100% conversion after 30 min at room temperature (Table 2, entry 1). Using only 100 mol % of phenylsi-

lane, the reaction required more time (40 min) (Table 2, entry 2). PMHS also afforded the complete reduction of phenyl sulfoxide, but the reaction needed 7 h 30 min (Table 2, entry 3). In contrast, moderate conversions were obtained, after 48 h, using 1 equiv of PhMe₂SiH or Et₃SiH at room temperature (Table 2, entries 4 and 6). Adding 2 equiv of these silanes at refluxing THF, the sulfide was obtained in 100% conversion in few hours (Table 2, entries 5 and 7). Triphenylsilane was less efficient, affording the sulfide in 49% conversion, after 24 h in refluxing THF, using 2 equiv of this silane (Table 2, entry 8). Finally, no reaction was observed in the absence of silane (Table 2, entry 9).

We have also studied the reduction of phenyl sulfoxide with HBcat and pinacholborane (HBpin). The reaction with HBcat (200 mol %) was very fast (5 min), giving the sulfide in 100% conversion at room temperature (Table 2, entry 10). Adding only 100 mol % of HBcat, this reduction was not complete, afforded the sulfide in 55% conversion after 6 h 30 m (Table 2, entry 11). The reduction of phenyl sulfoxide with HBpin was also successfully accomplished, giving the sulfide in 100% conversion after 5 min (Table 2, entry 12).

The influence of the solvent on the reduction of sulfoxides was also explored with the system $PhSiH_3/HReO_4$ (5 mol %) (Table 3). Among the solvents tested, THF proved to be the best at room temperature, affording the sulfide in 100% conversion after 30 min (Table 3, entry 1). Chloroform, benzene, and toluene also

gave the sulfide in 100% conversion, but the reactions required more time (Table 3, entries 2–4). In contrast, dichloromethane and acetonitrile only afforded the sulfide in moderate conversions (Table 3, entries 5 and 6).

To evaluate the general applicability of the catalytic system $PhSiH_3/HReO_4$ (5 mol %), we carried out the reduction of several sulfoxides bearing other potentially labile functional groups (Table 4, method A). These reactions were performed with 1.0 mmol of substrate in THF at room temperature under air atmosphere.⁴⁴ Generally, good to excellent yields of sulfides were obtained, including sulfides bearing electron-withdrawing or electron-donating groups. As shown in Table 4, this methodology is equally applicable to diaryl, aryl alkyl, and dialkyl sulfoxides. The reduction of sulfoxides containing several functional groups such as -Cl, -CO₂R, -NO₂, and double or triple bond was successfully accomplished with the catalytic system $PhSiH_3/HReO_4$ (5 mol %) (Table 4, entries 3, 11, 13, 15, 17), showing the high chemoselectivity of this novel methodology.

We have also explored the reduction of sulfoxides with the catalytic system HBcat/HReO₄ (5 mol %) at room temperature under air atmosphere (Table 4, method B).⁴⁴ The reactions were very fast (5-10 min), affording the sulfides in good to excellent yields. The functional-group tolerance of this catalytic system was evident from entries 4, 12, 14, 16, 18 of Table 4, which showed that -Cl, -CO₂R, -NO₂, and double or triple bonds were unaffected under these experimental conditions.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the catalyst HReO₄ is highly efficient for the reduction of a large variety of aryl, aryl alkyl, and alkyl sulfoxides with PhSiH₃ or HBcat at room temperature under air atmosphere. Both the systems PhSiH₃/HReO₄ and HBcat/ HReO₄ were also highly chemoselectives, tolerating several functional groups such as -Cl, -NO₂, -CO₂R, and double or triple bonds. Comparing the catalytic systems PhSiH₃/HReO₄ and HBcat/HReO₄, we concluded that the reactions with the second system were much faster.

This novel methodology has other advantages such as high yields, mild reaction conditions, fast reaction times, simple experimental operation, use of the cheap commercially available and air stable catalyst HReO₄. We believe that this novel procedure represents a practical, useful, highly efficient and chemoselective alternative to the traditional methods for the deoxygenation of sulfoxides.

Table 4

Reduction of sulfoxides with PhSiH₃ or HBcat catalyzed by HReO₄^a

0

	 	Method B: HBcat / HReO ₄ (5 mol%), THF, r. t.		$R_1 \sim R_2$	
	$R_1 R_2$				
Entry	Substrate	Product	Method	Time	Yield ^b (%)
1	O S S	S S	А	30 min	95
2			В	5 min	85
3	O S S	S S	А	1 h 30 min	86
4		CI2 🔅 🔅 CI	В	5 min	89
5	O S S		А	1 h 30 min	94
6	H ₃ C	H ₃ C ² \checkmark ¹ CH ₃	В	5 min	90
7		s.	А	1 h 30 min	92
0		\$ \$	В	5 min	90
9	, "Š		А	2 h	91
10			В	10 min	89
		0		2 h 45 min	00
11 12	S OCH3	S OCH3	AB	2 h 45 min 5 min	98
12			D	Jiiii	30
12		s,	А	3 h 10 min	85
13		O ₂ N	В	10 min	98
	O ₂ N				
15	S S	3	А	2 h	92
16	() ·		В	5 min	89
17		S->>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	А	50	55
18			В	5 min	66
19	o o	∽_s,	А	55 min	100 ^c
20	Š	~ ~ ~ ~	В	5 min	100 ^c

.

^a All reactions were carried out with 1.0 mmol of sulfoxide, 5 mol % of HReO₄, 120 mol % of PhSiH₃ or 200 mol % of HBcat.

^b Isolated yields.

^c Conversion was determined by ¹H NMR.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by FCT through project PTDC/QUI/ 71741/2006. S.C.A.S (SFRH/BD/63471/2009) and I.C. thank FCT for grants. Authors thank the Portuguese NMR Network (IST-UTL Center) for providing access to the NMR facilities and the Portuguese MS Network (IST Node) for the ESI measurements.

References and notes

- 1. Kühn, F. E.; Santos, A. M.; Abrantes, M. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2455-2475.
- 2. Romão, C. C.; Herrmann, W. A. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 3197-3246.
- 3. Fernandes, A. C.; Fernandes, R.; Romão, C. C.; Royo, B. Chem. Commun. 2005, 213–214.
- Costa, P. J.; Romão, C. C.; Fernandes, A. C.; Royo, B.; Reis, P. M.; Calhorda, M. J. Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 3934–3941.
- 5. Fernandes, A. C.; Romão, C. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 8881-8883.
- 6. Fernandes, A. C.; Romão, C. C. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2007, 272, 60-63.
- 7. Noronha, R. G.; Romão, C. C.; Fernandes, A. C. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6961-6964.
- 8. Fernandes, A. C.; Romão, C. C. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2006, 253, 96-98.
- 9. Fernandes, A. C.; Romão, C. C. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 9650–9654.
- 10. Fernandes, A. C.; Romão, C. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 9176-9179.
- 11. Fernandes, A. C.; Fernandes, J. A.; Almeida Paz, F. A.; Romão, C. C. Dalton Trans. 2008, 6686–6688.
- 12. Sousa, S. C. A.; Fernandes, A. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 6872–6876.
- Noronha, R. G.; Romão, C. C.; Fernandes, A. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2010, *51*, 1048–1051.
 Espenson, I. H. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2005, *249*, 329–341, and references cited
- 14. Espenson, J. H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 329–341. and references cited therein.
- 15. Kukushkin, V. Y. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1995, 139, 375–407. and references cited therein.
- 16. Madesclaire, M. Tetrahedron **1988**, 44, 6537–6551. and references cited therein.
- 17. Zhang, J.; Gao, X.; Zhang, C.; Zhang, C.; Luan, J.; Zhao, D. Synth. Commun. **2010**, 40, 1794–1801.
- 18. Oh, K.; Knabe, W. E. Tetrahedron **2009**, 65, 2966–2974.
- 19. Yoo, B. W.; Park, M. C.; Shin, J. I. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2009, 30, 1927-1928.

- 20. Bahrami, K.; Khodaei, M. M.; Karimi, A. Synthesis 2008, 2543-2546.
- 21. Yoo, B. W.; Park, M. C.; Song, M. S. Synth. Commun. 2007, 37, 4079-4083.
- 22. Yoo, B. W.; Song, M. S.; Park, M. C. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2007, 28, 171-172.
- 23. Yoo, B. W.; Song, M. S.; Park, M. C. Synth. Commun. 2007, 37, 3089-3093.
- 24. Pandey, L. K.; Pathak, U.; Rao, A. N. Synth. Commun. 2007, 37, 4105-4109.
- 25. Bahrami, K.; Khodaei, M. M.; Khedri, M. *Chem. Lett.* **2007**, 1324–1325. 26. Khurana I. M.; Sharma V. S.; Chacko A. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, 63, 966–96
- Khurana, J. M.; Sharma, V. S.; Chacko, A. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 966–969.
 Roy, C. D.; Brown, H. C. J. Chem. Res. 2006, 10, 642–644.
- Raju, B. R.; Devi, G.; Nongpluh, Y. S.; Saikia, A. K. Synlett 2005, 358–360.
- 29. Sanz, R.; Escribano, J.; Fernández, Y.; Aguado, R.; Pedrosa, M. R.; Arnáiz, F. J.
- Synthesis **2004**, 1629–1632. 30. Harrison, D. J.; Tam, N. C.; Vogels, C. M.; Langler, R. F.; Baker, R. T.; Decken, A.;
- Westcott, S. A. Tetrahedron Lett. **2004**, 45, 8493–8496. 31. Yoo, B. W.; Choi, K. H.; Kim, D. Y.; Choi, K. I.; Kim, J. H. Synth. Commun. **2003**, 33,
- Sa-57.
 Nicolay, K. C. Kourthin, A. F. Caudan, C. A. Simonana, K. D. Augur, Cham. Int.
- Nicolaou, K. C.; Koumbis, A. E.; Snyder, S. A.; Simonsen, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2529–2533.
- 33. Balicki, R. Synthesis **1991**, 155–156.
- 34. Cha, J. S.; Kim, J. E.; Kim, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 6453-6456.
- 35. Brown, H. C.; Ravindran, N. Synthesis 1973, 42-43.
- 36. Guidon, Y.; Atkinson, J. G.; Morton, H. E. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4538-4540.
- 37. Koshino, N.; Espenson, J. H. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 5735–5742.
- 38. Abu-Omar, M. M.; Khan, S. I. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 4979-4985.
- Arterburn, J. B.; Perry, M. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, 37, 7941–7944.
 Abu-Omar, M. M.; Appelman, E. H.; Espenson, J. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, 35, 7751– 7757.
- 41. Zhu, Z.; Espenson, J. H. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1995, 103, 87-94.
- 42. Bryan, J. C.; Stenkamp, R. E.; Tulip, T. H.; Mayer, J. M. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 2283–2288.
- Oxo-complexes HReO₄, MoO₂(acac)₂, WO₂Cl₂, and VO(acac)₂ are commercially available compounds.
- 44. In a typical experiment for the reduction of sulfoxides with PhSiH₃ or HBcat catalyzed by HReO₄, to a solution of catalyst (5 mol %) and sulfoxide (1.0 mmol) in THF (3 ml) was added PhSiH₃ (1.2 mmol) or HBcat (2.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under air atmosphere and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC and ¹H NMR. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was evaporated and purified by silica gel column chromatography with the appropriate mixture of *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate to afford the sulfides, which are all known compounds.